

ON SYMMETRIES OF KDV-LIKE EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

ARTUR SERGYEV*^{*}

Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine,
 Tereshchenkivs'ka Str. 3, 252004 Kyiv, Ukraine
 e-mail: arthurser@imath.kiev.ua, arthur@apmat.freenet.kiev.ua

The x -dependence of the symmetries of $(1+1)$ -dimensional scalar translationally invariant evolution equations is described. The sufficient condition of (quasi)polynomiality in time t of the symmetries of evolution equations with constant separant is found. The general form of time dependence of the symmetries of KdV-like non-linearizable evolution equations is presented.

1. Introduction

It is well known that provided scalar $(1+1)$ -dimensional evolution equation (EE) with time-independent coefficients possesses the infinite-dimensional commutative Lie algebra of *time-independent* non-classical symmetries, it is either linearizable or integrable via inverse scattering transform [1, 2]. This algebra is usually constructed with usage of the recursion operator [2], but it may be also generated by the repeated commuting of *mastersymmetry* with few time-independent symmetries [3]. In its turn, to possess the mastersymmetry, EE in question must have (at least one) polynomial in time t symmetry. This fact is one of the main reasons of growing interest to the study of whole algebra of *time-dependent* symmetries of EEs [4, 5, 6].

However, it is very difficult to describe this algebra even in the simplest case of scalar $(1+1)$ -dimensional EE. To the best of author's knowledge, in the class of scalar *nonlinear* EEs the complete algebras of time-dependent local symmetries were found only for KdV equation by Magadeev and Sokolov [7] and for KdV and Burgers equations by Vinogradov et al. [8]. In [8] there were also proved two no-go theorems, which show, when the symmetries of third order KdV-like and second order Burgers-like EEs are exhausted by Lie ones.

Surprisingly enough, using only the invariance of given EE under $\partial/\partial t$ and $\partial/\partial x$ and some simple observations on the explicit form of symmetries, we can show (vide Theorem 6 below) that any symmetry of KdV-like non-linearizable EE as a function of t is a linear combination of quasipolynomials (i.e. of the products of exponents by polynomials). Moreover, for the class of EEs with constant separant our approach allows to find a simple sufficient condition for such a situation to take place (Theorem 3), and for the generic EE (1) it enables us to describe the dependence of its symmetries on variable x (Theorem 1).

* Current address (as of January 9, 2007): Silesian University in Opava, Mathematical Institute, Na Rybníčku 1, 746 01 Opava, Czech Republic. E-mail: Artur.Sergyeyev@math.slu.cz

2. Some general properties of symmetries of evolution equations

Consider the scalar $(1+1)$ -dimensional EE

$$\partial u / \partial t = F(t, u, u_1, \dots, u_n), \quad n \geq 2, \quad (1)$$

where $u_l = \partial^l u / \partial x^l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_0 \equiv u$, and its symmetries, i.e. the right hand sides G of EEs

$$\partial u / \partial \tau = G(x, t, u, u_1, \dots, u_k), \quad (2)$$

compatible with equation (1). The biggest number k such that $\partial G / \partial u_k \neq 0$ is called the order of symmetry and is denoted as $k = \text{ord } G$. If G is independent of $u, u_1, \dots$, then we assume that $\text{ord } G = 0$. We set $S^{(k)} = \{G \in S | \text{ord } G \leq k\}$ and $S = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} S^{(j)}$.

For any sufficiently smooth function $h(x, t, u, u_1, \dots, u_r)$ introduce the quantities [1]

$$h_* = \sum_{i=0}^r \partial h / \partial u_i D^i \text{ and } \nabla_h = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} D^j(h) \partial / \partial u_j, \text{ where } D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_{i+1} \partial / \partial u_i.$$

Now we can define the Lie bracket, which endows S by the structure of Lie algebra, as

$$\{h, r\} = h_*(r) - r_*(h) = \nabla_r(h) - \nabla_h(r).$$

This definition differs from the conventional one [1, 2, 9] by the sign, but is more suitable for our purposes. Note that $S^{(1)}$ is Lie subalgebra in S .

Equation (2) is compatible with (1) if and only if

$$\partial G / \partial t = \{F, G\}. \quad (3)$$

From (3) one may easily derive [2] that

$$\partial G_* / \partial t \equiv (\partial G / \partial t)_* = \nabla_G(F_*) - \nabla_F(G_*) + [F_*, G_*], \quad (4)$$

where $\nabla_F(G_*) \equiv \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} D^j(F) \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial u_j \partial u_i} D^i$ and similarly for $\nabla_G(F_*)$; $[\cdot, \cdot]$ stands for the usual commutator of linear differential operators.

Let $\text{ord } G = k$. Then equating the coefficients at D^l on both sides of (4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial u_l \partial t} &= \sum_{m=0}^n D^m(G) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_m \partial u_l} - \sum_{r=0}^k D^r(F) \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial u_r \partial u_l} \\ &+ \sum_{j=\max(0, l+1-n)}^k \sum_{i=\max(l+1-j, 0)}^n \left[C_i^{i+j-l} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i} D^{i+j-l} \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial u_j} \right) \right. \\ &\left. - C_j^{i+j-l} \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_j} D^{i+j-l} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i} \right) \right], \quad l = 0, \dots, n+k-1, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $C_q^p = \frac{q!}{p!(q-p)!}$ and we assume that $\frac{1}{(-s)!} = 0$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $k \geq 2$, one easily obtains from (5) with $l = n + k - 1, \dots, n + 1$ the formulas

$$\partial G / \partial u_k = c_k(t) (\partial F / \partial u_n)^{k/n}, \quad (6)$$

$$\partial G / \partial u_i = c_i(t) (\partial F / \partial u_n)^{i/n} + \sum_{p=i+1}^k \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p-i}{n-1} \rfloor} \chi_{pq}(t, x, u, \dots, u_k) \frac{\partial^q c_p}{\partial t^q}, \quad i = 2, \dots, k-1, \quad (7)$$

where $c_j(t)$ are arbitrary functions of t (cf. [1, 2]).

Furthermore, we see that by virtue of (4)

$$[\nabla_F - F_*, G_*] = 0 \pmod{D^p}, \quad p = \max(k, n) \quad (8)$$

Equating the coefficients at powers of D in (8) yields the equations (5) with $l = p + 1, \dots, n + k - 1$, from which we may find $\partial G / \partial u_i$, $i = \max(k - n + 1, 2), \dots, k$. By (7), the only arbitrary elements, which they may contain, are functions $c_i(t)$, while their dependence on $x, u, u_1, \dots$ is *uniquely* determined from (8).

On the other hand, since F is x -independent, the existence of the solution F_* of (8) with $p = n$ guarantees the solvability of the equations for $\partial G / \partial u_i$, $i = \max(k - n + 2, 2), \dots, k$, in terms of functions of $u, \dots, u_k$ and t only (cf. [10]). Therefore, $\partial G / \partial u_i$, $i = \max(k - n + 2, 2), \dots, k$, are x -independent. In particular, any $G \in S^{(n)}$ has the form

$$G = g(t, u, \dots, u_k) + \Phi(t, x, u, u_1). \quad (9)$$

Thus, for any symmetry $G \in S$ $\partial G / \partial x \in S$ and $\text{ord } \partial G / \partial x \leq \max(1, \text{ord } G - n + 1)$. Applying this result to $\tilde{G} = \partial G / \partial x$ and so on, we obtain that $\partial^r G / \partial x^r \in S^{(n)}$ and hence is of the form (9), if $r = r_{k,n,1}$, where for $q = 0, 1$

$$r_{k,n,q} = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{k}{n-1} \right\rfloor \text{ for } k \not\equiv 0, \dots, q \pmod{n-1}, \\ \max(0, \left\lfloor \frac{k}{n-1} \right\rfloor - 1) \text{ for } k \equiv 0, \dots, q \pmod{n-1}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } r_{k,n,-1} = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{n-1} \right\rfloor;$$

$[s]$ denotes here the integer part of the number s . The integration of $\partial^r G / \partial x^r$ r times with respect to x , taking into account the above, yields the following result:

THEOREM 1. *Any symmetry G of order k of (1) may be represented in the form*

$$G = \psi(t, x, u, u_1) + \sum_{j=0}^s x^j g_j(t, u, \dots, u_{k-j(n-1)}), \quad s \leq r_{k,n,1}. \quad (10)$$

REMARK 2. In complete analogy with the above, one may show that if

$$\partial F / \partial u_{n-i} = \phi_i(t), \quad i = 0, \dots, j, \quad (11)$$

where $\phi_i(t)$ are arbitrary functions of t , then in (8) $p = \max(k, n - 1 - j)$ and it is possible to find from (8) $\partial G / \partial u_i$, $i = \max(k - n + 2, \max(1 - j, 0)), \dots, k$, which again turn out to be x -independent, and hence (cf. [10]) in (10) $s \leq r_{k,n,-\min(1,j)}$ and ψ satisfies

$$\partial \psi / \partial u_r = 0, \quad r = \max(1 - j, 0), \dots, 1. \quad (12)$$

Note that if (11) holds true, (6), (7) hold for $k \geq \max(1 - j, 0)$, $i = \max(1 - j, 0), \dots, k - 1$.

3. Symmetries of the equations with constant separant

Let us turn to the particular case, when $\partial F/\partial t = 0$ and F has the form

$$F = u_n + f(u, \dots, u_{n-1}), \quad (13)$$

i.e. when F has a constant separant, equal to unity [10]. Note that any F with constant separant, different from unity, may be reduced to the form (13) by rescaling of time t . For the sake of brevity we shall refer to EE (1) with F (13) as to EE with constant separant. Let us also mention that if $\partial F/\partial t = 0$, then in (7) $\partial \chi_{pq}/\partial t = 0$.

Assume that $\text{ord } G \equiv k > n - 1$. Then, by (13) and (6), (5) with $l = k$ reads

$$nD(\partial G/\partial u_{k-n+1}) = \partial c_k(t)/\partial t + R, \quad (14)$$

where R stands for the terms which depend only on F and its derivatives and on $\partial G/\partial u_i$, $i = k - n + 2, \dots, k$. Moreover, $R = D(K)$ for some x -independent K , as it follows from the fact that if F has a constant separant, F_* is solution of (8) with $p = n - 1$. Really, if the term $\partial G_*/\partial t$ in (4) would be absent, G_* would satisfy (8) with $p = n - 1$ and the equation for $\partial G/\partial u_{k-n+1}$ would be solvable in terms of functions of $t, u, u_1, \dots$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 1 and [10]). But the only term in (14), generated by $\partial G_*/\partial t$, is $\partial c_k(t)/\partial t$, while R is the same as if it would be in absence of $\partial G_*/\partial t$ in (4). Hence, $R = D(K)$, $\partial K/\partial x = 0$, and

$$\partial G/\partial u_{k-n+1} = (x/n)\partial c_k(t)/\partial t + K + c_{k-n+1}(t). \quad (15)$$

Since $\partial G/\partial u_i$, $i = k - n + 2, \dots, k$, are x -independent by Theorem 1, by (15) $\text{ord } \partial G/\partial x = k - n + 1$ and $\partial^2 G/\partial u_{k-n+1} \partial x = (1/n)\partial c_k(t)/\partial t$.

Iterating this process shows that for $r = r_{k,n,0}$ $Q = \partial^r G/\partial x^r \in S^{(n-1)}$ and

$$\partial Q/\partial u_q = (1/n^r)\partial^r c_k(t)/\partial t^r, \quad q \equiv \text{ord } Q. \quad (16)$$

THEOREM 3. *If the symmetries from $S^{(n-1)}$ of the equation (1) with constant separant either are all polynomial in t or are all linear combinations of quasipolynomials¹ in t , then so does any symmetry of this equation.*

Proof. If the conditions of theorem are fulfilled, then by (16) for any symmetry G , $k \equiv \text{ord } G \geq 1$, the function $c_k(t) \equiv \partial G/\partial u_k$ is either polynomial or linear combination of quasipolynomials in t . Hence, there exists a differential operator $\Omega = \sum_{l=0}^m a_l \partial^l/\partial t^l$, $a_l \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\Omega(c_k(t)) = 0$. In particular, if $c_k(t)$ is polynomial in t of order p , we may choose $\Omega_0 = \partial^{p+1}/\partial t^{p+1}$ as Ω .

Now assume that the theorem is already proved for the symmetries from $S^{(k-1)}$ (it is obviously true for $k \leq n$). For EE (1) with F (13) $S^{(k)}$ is closed under $\partial/\partial t$, and therefore $\Omega(G) \in S^{(k)}$. Moreover, since $\Omega(c_k(t)) = 0$, $\text{ord } \Omega(G) \leq k - 1$ and hence, by our assumption, $\tilde{G} \equiv \Omega(G)$ is either polynomial or linear combination of quasipolynomials in t . Obviously, so does any solution R of the equation $\Omega(R) = \tilde{G}$, including $R = G$. If all the

¹We call quasipolynomials the products $\exp(\lambda t)P(t)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and P is a polynomial.

elements of $S^{(n-1)}$ are polynomial in t , then so does $c_k(t)$ and hence the polynomiality of G in t is guaranteed, because we may take $\Omega = \Omega_0$ and because $\tilde{G}$ is polynomial in t by our assumption. The induction by k , starting from $k = n$, completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3 is a natural generalization of the result of [7] on polynomiality in t of symmetries of KdV equation. It gives a very simple sufficient condition for all the symmetries of a given EE with constant separant to be polynomial in time t . Note that in such a situation all the time-dependent symmetries of EE in question may be constructed via the so-called generators of degree s for different $s \in \mathbb{N}$, using the results of Fuchssteiner [3].

4. Symmetries of KdV-like equations

Now let us consider the equations with constant separant, whose f satisfies

$$\partial f / \partial u_{n-1} = \text{const.} \quad (17)$$

We shall call the EEs (1) with F (13), satisfying (17), *KdV-like*, since the famous Korteweg – de Vries equation has the form (1) with F (13), where $n = 3$ and $f = 6uu_1$ obviously satisfies (17).

Let G be the symmetry of KdV-like EE (1). Analyzing the leading term of $\partial^r G / \partial x^r$, $r = \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord } G}{n-1} \right\rceil$, like in the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain the following statement:

COROLLARY 4. *If the symmetries from $S^{(n-2)}$ of KdV-like equation (1) either are all polynomial or are all linear combinations of quasipolynomials in t , then so does any symmetry of this equation.*

EXAMPLE 5. Consider third order formally integrable nonlinear KdV-like EEs [11]:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= u_3 + uu_1, \\ u_t &= u_3 + u_1^2 + c, \\ u_t &= u_3 + u^2 u_1 + cu_1, \\ u_t &= u_3 + u_1^3 + cu_1 + d, \\ u_t &= u_3 - u_1^3/2 + (a \exp(2u) + b \exp(-2u) + d)u_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. All the symmetries of these EEs are polynomial in t by Corollary 4, since so do their symmetries of orders 0 and 1.

Now let us analyze in more detail the general form of time dependence of symmetries of KdV-like EE (1). Assume that the EE in question may not be linearized by means of contact transformations (for the sake of brevity we shall call it *non-linearizable*). Then $\dim \Phi \leq n$ [9], where $\Phi = \{\varphi(x, t) | \varphi(x, t) \in S\}$. Let us show that in such a case $\dim S^{(k)} < \infty$ for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Let $G \in S^{(k)} / S^{(k-1)}$, $k > n - 2$. Then, obviously, it is completely determined by its leading term $h_k(t) \equiv \partial G / \partial u_k$. Like the above, but taking into account Remark 2, we may show that for KdV-like EE (1) $Q = \partial^r G / \partial x^r \in S^{(n-2)}$, if $r = \left\lceil \frac{k}{n-1} \right\rceil$, and

$$\partial Q / \partial u_q \equiv c_q(t) = (1/n^r) \partial^r h_k(t) / \partial t^r, q \equiv \text{ord } Q. \quad (18)$$

Since h_k satisfies (18), for $k > n - 2$

$$\dim S^{(k)}/S^{(k-1)} \leq \dim S^{(k_0)} + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{n-1} \right\rfloor, k_0 = k - \left\lfloor \frac{k}{n-1} \right\rfloor(n-1), \quad (19)$$

whence $\dim S^{(k)} < \infty$ for $k = 0, \dots, n-2$ implies the same result for any k .

By Theorem 1 and Remark 2 for KdV-like EE (1) (6) and (7) for $k \leq n-2$ read

$$\partial G / \partial u_i = c_i(t) + \sum_{p=i+1}^k \chi_p(u, \dots, u_k) c_p(t), \quad i = 0, \dots, k-1, \quad (20)$$

$$\partial G / \partial u_k = c_k(t), \quad (21)$$

and thus any symmetry G of order $k \leq n-2$ has the form

$$G = \psi(t, x) + g_0(t, u, \dots, u_k). \quad (22)$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that the function g_0 is completely determined by $\partial G / \partial u_i$, $i = 0, \dots, k$. Since $\partial \psi / \partial x \in \Phi$, we have

$$\psi(t, x) = \gamma(t) + \sum_{p=1}^{\dim \Phi} a_p \int_0^x dy \varphi_p(y, t), \quad (23)$$

where $a_p \in \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma(t)$ is arbitrary function of t , and $\varphi_p(x, t)$, $p = 1, \dots, \dim \Phi$, stand for some basis in Φ .

The substitution of G (22) with ψ (23) into equations (5) with $l = 0, \dots, n-1$ and into (3) yields in final account the system of first order linear ordinary differential equations in t (and, possibly, algebraic equations) for $c_i(t)$, $i = 0, \dots, k$ and $\gamma(t)$. Note that we must use (3) in order to obtain an ODE of the form $\partial \gamma(t) / \partial t = \dots$, allowing to find $\gamma(t)$.

Obviously, the general solution of this system of ODEs for $k \leq n-2$ may contain at most $N_{k,n} = \dim \Phi + k + 2$ arbitrary constants (including a_p , $p = 1, \dots, \dim \Phi$).

Hence, $\dim S^{(k)} \leq N_{k,n} < \infty$ for $k \leq n-2$ and thus by (19) for any $k = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\dim S^{(k)} = \dim S^{(k_0)} + \sum_{j=k_0+1}^k \dim S^{(j)} / S^{(j-1)} < \infty. \quad (24)$$

Thus, for any k the space $S^{(k)}$ is finite-dimensional. Since in addition this space is invariant under $\partial / \partial t$, the dependence of its elements on t is completely described by Theorem 3.1 [12]. Namely, any symmetry of order k of KdV-like non-linearizable EE (1) is a linear combination of $\dim S^{(k)}$ linearly independent symmetries of the form

$$H = \exp(\lambda t) \sum_{j=0}^m t^j h_j(x, u, \dots, u_k), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, m \leq \dim S^{(k)} - 1. \quad (25)$$

THEOREM 6. *For any non-linearizable KdV-like EE (1) $\dim S^{(k)} < \infty, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and any symmetry Q of order k is a linear combination of the symmetries (25).*

Thus, all the symmetries of non-linearizable KdV-like EEs are linear combinations of quasipolynomials in t . This partially recovers the result of Corollary 4, but this corollary still remains of interest, providing the convenient sufficient condition of *polynomiality* of symmetries in time t .

It is interesting to note that some general properties of time-dependent symmetries, which are linear combinations of the expressions (25), were studied by Ma [6]. However, while he considered this form as given *a priori*, we have *proved* that all the symmetries of non-linearizable KdV-like EE (1) indeed have this form.

Moreover, acting on any symmetry (25) by $(\partial/\partial t - \lambda)^m$ for $\lambda \neq 0$ or by $\partial^{m-1}/\partial t^{m-1}$ for $\lambda = 0$, we obtain the symmetry which is either linear or exponential in t . Hence, there is a very simple test of existence of *any* time-dependent symmetries for given non-linearizable KdV-like EE (1). Namely, it suffices to check whether there exist the symmetries of the form

$$G = \exp(\lambda t)Q_0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq 0 \quad (26)$$

or of the form

$$G = G_0 + tG_1, G_1 \neq 0, \quad (27)$$

where Q_0, G_0 and G_1 are time-independent. If non-linearizable KdV-like EE (1) (with time-independent coefficients!) has no time-dependent symmetries of the form (26) or (27), then it has no time-dependent symmetries at all (but of course it may have time-independent symmetries).

The substitution of (26) and (27) into (3) yields

$$\{F, Q_0\} = \lambda Q_0, \quad (28)$$

$$\{F, G_0\} = G_1, \quad \{F, G_1\} = 0. \quad (29)$$

In the first case F is called scaling symmetry (or conformal invariance [13]) of Q_0 . However, known scaling symmetries F of integrable hierarchies, such as KdV, depend usually only on x, u, u_1 but not on u_2 and higher derivatives [13] and hence do not generate EEs of the form (1), which we consider here. We guess that if KdV-like EE (1) is non-linearizable and integrable, there exist no functions Q_0 , which satisfy (28) with $\lambda \neq 0$. Moreover, it is believed [4] that in such a case the only polynomial in t symmetries (2) that EE (1) may possess are those linear in t .

Now let us consider the second case. Assume that there exists some commutative algebra Alg of time-independent symmetries of KdV-like non-linearizable EE (1), such that for any $K \in Alg$ the Lie bracket $\{G_0, K\} \in Alg$. Then G_0 is mastersymmetry of (1), and hence (1) possesses (under some extra conditions, vide [3]) the infinite set of time-independent symmetries and is probable to be integrable via inverse scattering transform. Let us mention that the condition of commutativity of Alg may be rejected if G_0 is scaling symmetry of F , i.e. $\{F, G_0\} = \mu F$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}, \mu \neq 0$ [13].

CONJECTURE 7. *For any KdV-like non-linearizable evolution equation (1) either all its symmetries are polynomial in t or all they are linear combinations of exponents in t .*

Acknowledgements

It is my pleasure to express deep gratitude to Profs. A.G. Nikitin and R.Z. Zhdanov and Dr. R.G. Smirnov for the fruitful discussions on the subject of this work. I would also like to thank the organizers of XXX Symposium on Mathematical Physics, where this work was presented, for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. V. Sokolov: *Russian Math. Surveys* **43**, no. 5 (1988), 165.
- [2] P. Olver: *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, Springer, New York 1986.
- [3] B. Fuchssteiner: *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **70** (1983), 1508.
- [4] W. X. Ma, P. K. Bullough, P. J. Caudrey and W. I. Fushchych: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** (1997), 5141; preprint solv-int/9705014 (arXiv.org)
- [5] B. Fuchssteiner: *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993), 5140; DOI: 10.1063/1.530295
- [6] W. X. Ma: *Science in China A* **34** (1991), 769.
- [7] B. A. Magadeev, V. V. Sokolov: *Dinamika Sploshnoj Sredy*, **52** (1981) 48 (in Russian).
- [8] A. M. Vinogradov, I. S. Krasil'shchik, V. V. Lychagin: *Introduction to Geometry of Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Nauka, Moscow 1986 (in Russian).
- [9] B. A. Magadeev: *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **5**, no. 2 (1994), 345.
- [10] N. H. Ibragimov: *Transformation Groups Applied to Mathematical Physics*, Reidel, Dordrecht 1985.
- [11] A. V. Mikhailov, A. B. Shabat and V. V. Sokolov: *The Symmetry Approach to Classification of Integrable Equations* in What is Integrability?, V. E. Zakharov ed., Springer, New York 1991.
- [12] A. V. Shapovalov, I. V. Shirokov: *Theor. Math. Phys.* **92** (1992), 697.
- [13] W. Oevel: *A Geometrical Approach to Integrable Systems Admitting Time-dependent Invariants* in Proc. Conf. On Nonlinear Evolution Equations, Solitons and Inverse Scattering Transform, M. Ablowitz et al. eds., Singapore, World Scientific 1987.